

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 153816

62

ORIGIN EUR-04

INFO OCT-01 SSO-00 ISO-00 /005 R

66618

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:EJSTREATOR:GP

APPROVED BY EUR:JGLOWENSTEIN

S/S:O:R ELTZ

----- 008948

O 162229Z JUL 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO ALL NATO CAPITALS IMMEDIATE

XMT AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

S E C R E T STATE 153816

FOLLOWING REPEAT MADRID 4339 (SECTO 229) SENT ACTION
USNATO INFO SECSTATE USDEL GENEVA (CSCE) JUL 9TH.

QUOTE:

S E C R E T MADRID 4339

SECTO 229

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: OVIP (KISSINGER, HENRY A.)

SUBJ: INSTRUCTIONS FOR NAC MEETING

1. INITIAL NATO DISCUSSION OF CSCE RESULTS OFFERS US
THE OPPORTUNITY TO BEGIN SHAPING THE RESULTS OF THE
CONFERENCE BY BRINGING THE ALLIES TO FOCUS IN CAPITALS
ON REALISTIC OUTCOMES IN EACH OF THE CONTENTIOUS AREAS,
ESPECIALLY BASKETS 1 AND 3, LEADING TO AN EARLY WESTERN
CONSENSUS. ACCORDINGLY, WE HAVE PREPARED THE FOLLOWING
OUTLINE OF YOUR OPENING REMARKS FOR JULY 10 NAC DISCUSSIONS:
INTRODUCTION:

A. AS SECRETARY SAID IN HIS JULY 4 BRIEFING, WE BELIEVE
THE ALLIES SHOULD ADDRESS ESSENTIALLY TWO QUESTIONS DURING
SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 153816

THESE CONSULTATIONS: (1) DOES ANY FORSEEABLE CSCE
RESULT JUSTIFY A SUMMIT, AND (2) IF THE ANSWER TO (1) IS
AFFIRMATIVE, WHAT WOULD BE THAT RESULT? THE US HAS NO RPT NO
AGREEMENT WITH THE SOVIET UNION TO PRODUCE A CSC SUMMIT.

RATHER, WE PROPOSE CLOSE NATO CONSULTATIONS ON THESE QUESTIONS. WE NEED TO OUTLINE TOGETHER THOSE ELEMENTS WE BELIEVE ESSENTIAL TO A SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME.

B. OUR HOPE ESSENTIALLY IS TO LIFT THE INTER-ALLIED DEBATE ON THIS ISSUE TO THE POLITICAL LEVEL HERE AND IN CAPITALS SO THAT GOVERNMENTS CAN AGREE UPON OUR BASIC OBJECTIVES. THESE OBJECTIVES CAN THEN BE CONVEYED TO THE SOVIETS BY OUR NEGOTIATORS IN GENEVA. IN THIS WAY, WE CAN MAKE CLEAR TO THE SOVIETS THAT THE WESTERN SIDE IS NOT ENGAGED IN A DELIBERATE CAMPAIGN OF OBSTRUCTIONISM BUT IS PREPARED TO NEGOTIATE SERIOUSLY ON THE IMPORTANT OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS.

REVIEW OF CSCE PROGRESS TO DATE.

C. GENEVA NEGOTIATORS HAVE MADE SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS ON THE PRINCIPLES DECLARATION, HAVING COMPLETED A FIRST READING OF FIVE OF THE TEN PRINCIPLES TO BE ADDRESSED AND BEGUN WORK ON THE SIXTH. THE MAJOR ALLIED DESIDERATA IN THIS AREA HAVE EMERGED WITH REASONABLE CLARITY AT LEAST IN GENERAL TERMS. THEY ARE:

- (1) SATISFACTORY RESOLUTION OF THE INVIOABILITY OF FRONTIERS/PEACEFUL CHANGE OF BORDERS FORMULATIONS;
- (2) SATISFACTORY WORDING OF PARAGRAPHS ON SELF-DETERMINATION AND ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS;
- (3) ACCEPTABLE LANGUAGE ON THE INTER-RELATIONSHIP OF ALL OF THE PRINCIPLES;
- (4) APPROPRIATE MEANS OF INSURING THAT THE PRINCIPLES DECLARATION CAN NOT BE INTERPRETED AS UNDERMINING QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS WITH RESPECT TO GERMANY AS A WHOLE AND BERLIN, A TOPIC WHICH, IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, SHOULD BE DISCUSSED IN MORE DETAIL UNDER BONN GROUP AEGIS.

D. UNDER THE SECOND AGENDA ITEM, SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE ON THE VARIOUS COOPERATION ISSUES, AND WE DO NOT ANTICIPATE MAJOR CONTROVERSIES UNDER THIS HEADING. MAJOR PROBLEM AREAS:

- E. THE FIRST OF THESE PROBLEM AREAS RELATES TO
SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 153816

CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES. THERE ARE TWO MAJOR POINTS OF DIFFERENCE HERE:

- (1) WHETHER TO INCLUDE A MEASURE COVERING PRIOR NOTIFICATION OF MAJOR TROOP MOVEMENTS;
- (2) CRITERIA FOR THE CBM ON MANEUVERS.

F. WITH RESPECT TO TROOP MOVEMENTS, IT IS WELL KNOWN TO ALL OF YOU THAT MY GOVERNMENT HAS OPPOSED TRYING TO DEAL WITH THIS IN CSCE. HOWEVER, WE HAVE SO FAR REMAINED SILENT ON THE MOVEMENTS QUESTION IN GENEVA IN ORDER NOT TO BREAK RANKS OPENLY WITH OUR ALLIES. IT REMAINS OUR VIEW THAT THE ALLIES SHOULD DROP THIS PROPOSAL.

G. ON MANEUVER CRITERIA, ESSENTIAL EAST-WEST DIFFERENCES NOW ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(1) AMOUNT OF PRIOR NOTIFICATION: THE SOVIETS HAVE OFFERED TEN DAYS, WHILE THE UK HAS SUGGESTED SEVEN WEEKS;

(2) THRESHOLD: SOVIETS WISH TO NOTIFY MANEUVERS AT ARMY CORPS LEVEL, WHILE THE ALLIES WANT NOTIFICATION OF ALL MANEUVERS ABOVE 12,000 MEN;

(3) AREA OF COVERAGE: THE SOVIETS ARE NOW TALKING IN TERMS OF 100 KILOMETER ZONES ALONG BORDERS, WHILE THE ALLIES HOLD TO THE FORMULATION "IN EUROPE,"

(4) WHO WOULD BE NOTIFIED: THE SOVIET POSITION REMAINS THAT NATIONAL MANEUVERS WOULD BE NOTIFIED ONLY TO NEIGHBORING STATES WHILE NOTIFICATION OF MULTINATIONAL MANEUVERS WOULD BE GIVEN TO ALL PARTICIPANTS. THE ALLIES ADVOCATE NOTIFICATION TO ALL PARTICIPANTS OF ALL MANEUVERS WITHIN THE AGREED CRITERIA.

H. WE BELIEVE THAT THE OUTLINES OF AN ACCEPTABLE COMPROMISE WOULD BE ESSENTIALLY TO SPLIT THE DIFFERENCE ON POINTS (1) THROUGH (3) ABOVE-- PERHAPS THIRTY DAYS PRIOR NOTIFICATION OF MANEUVERS BY REINFORCED DIVISION AND ABOVE (ABOUT 20,000 MEN) TAKING PLACE ON THE LAND MASS OF EUROPE, BUT IN THE EUROPEAN USSR INCLUDING ONLY A BROAD BAND OF TERRITORY ON ITS WESTERN BORDER. IN THIS CONTEXT, I WANT TO MAKE CLEAR THAT MY GOVERNMENT WOULD OPPOSE EXTENSION OF CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES TO THE MEDITERRANEAN. WITH RESPECT TO POINT (4) ABOVE, WE BELIEVE NOTIFICATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO ALL PARTICIPANTS.

I. SECOND MAJOR PROBLEM AREA, OF COURSE, CONCERNS THE SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 153816

THIRD AGENDA ITEM. THE ISSUES HERE ARE OF TWO KINDS:

(1) THE GENERAL ONE OF HOW TO DEAL WITH SOVIET INSISTENCE THAT ALL UNDERTAKINGS UNDER THIS HEADING SHOULD BE BASED ON RESPECT FOR "NATIONAL LAWS AND CUSTOMS" AND;

(2) THE SPECIFIC FREER MOVEMENT TOPICS TO WHICH THE WEST ATTACHES PRIMARY IMPORTANCE.

J. WITH RESPECT TO POINT (1) ABOVE, AS YOU KNOW, THE FINNS HAVE PROPOSED IN GENEVA THE FOLLOWING COMPROMISE:

(1) THAT THERE SHOULD BE NO RPT NO EXPLICIT REFERENCE TO NATIONAL LAWS AND CUSTOMS IN THE BASKET 3 PREAMBLE, BUT RATHER A STATEMENT THAT COOPERATION IN THIS AREA SHOULD TAKE PLACE WITH DUE RESPECT FOR ALL THE PRINCIPLES COVERED IN THE PRINCIPLES DECLARATION;

(2) IN THAT DECLARATION, EITHER THE PARAGRAPH ON NON-INTERVENTION OR THAT ON SOVEREIGNTY WOULD INCLUDE LANGUAGE PLEDGING PARTICIPANTS TO RESPECT THE RIGHTS OF EACH OTHER TO DETERMINE "NATIONAL LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY SYSTEMS."

K. THIS GENERAL TOPIC IS NOW UNDER ACTIVE DISCUSSION AT GENEVA, AND I BELIEVE WE SHOULD URGE OUR NEGOTIATORS TO WORK ACTIVELY TOWARD ITS RESOLUTION,

UTILIZING THE VEHICLE OF THE NEUTRAL "PACKAGE DEAL" TO EXPEDITE IT AS APPROPRIATE. NATURALLY A BASIC ELEMENT IN THE OVERALL COMPROMISE WOULD BE SOVIET CONCESSIONS ON SPECIFIC FREER MOVEMENT ISSUES.

L. ON THESE SPECIFIC FREER MOVEMENT TOPICS, MOST OF US AGREE THAT A SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME "SHOULD INCLUDE GOOD TEXTUAL FORMULATIONS ON FAMILY RELATED ISSUES. A TEXT ON FAMILY VISITS HAS ALREADY HAD A FIRST READING IN GENEVA. WE SHOULD ALSO HAVE ACCEPTABLE DOCUMENTS ON FAMILY REUNIFICATION AND ON THE MATTER OF MARRIAGE BETWEEN NATIONALS OF DIFFERENT STATES. WE NEED TO BE CLEAR, HOWEVER, ON WHAT WE REGARD AS THE KEY POINTS IN THESE TEXTS.

M. BEYOND THESE FAMILY RELATED ISSUES, WHAT ELSE DO WE REQUIRE? I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE SHOULD DECIDE UPON FOUR OF FIVE ADDITIONAL PRIORITY TOPICS AND AGREE ON THE CONTENT OF EACH OF THEM. IN THIS WAY, THE NUMBER OF TEXTS NOW ON THE TABLE AT GENEVA COULD BE PARED DOWN TO MANAGEABLE PROPORTIONS WITHOUT ANY REAL SUBSTANTIVE LOSS

SECRET

PAGE 05 STATE 153816

AND WE WOULD BE IN A BETTER POSITION TO AIM FOR GOOD RESULTS ON THE MAIN ISSUES.

N. WE WOULD WELCOME SUGGESTIONS FROM OTHERS ON WHAT THE ESSENTIAL TOPICS ARE, AND WHAT KINDS OF UNDERTAKINGS WOULD MEET BASIC WESTERN REQUIREMENTS.

FUTURE WORK PROGRAM.

O. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE SCHEDULE ONE FURTHER MEETING OF THE NAC, PERHAPS ON JULY 17, WHICH SHOULD GIVE DELEGATIONS TIME TO RECEIVE CONSIDERED INSTRUCTIONS FROM CAPITALS REFLECTING NATIONAL REACTIONS TO TODAY'S EXCHANGE OF VIEWS. WE MIGHT THEN ASK THE SENIOR POLITICAL COMMITTEE TO BEING WORK ON A STUDY, WHICH WE BELIEVE SHOULD CONCENTRATE ON THE FOLLOWING AREAS:

(1) REFINEMENT OF MAJOR ALLIED GOALS WITH RESPECT TO THE PRINCIPLES DECLARATION (AS I SAID EARLIER, I BELIEVE THE GENERAL OUTLINES OF WHAT WE SEEK HAVE ALREADY EMERGED);

(2) THE OUTLINE OF AN ACCEPTABLE COMPROMISE ON CBMS; AND

(3) THE SELECTION OF PRIORITY ISSUES UNDER THE THIRD AGENDA ITEM AND AGREEMENT ON ACCEPTABLE CONTENT OF UNDERTAKINGS THAT WE SHOULD SEEK UNDER EACH ONE.

P. WE BELIEVE THE PURPOSE OF THESE CONSULTATIONS SHOULD BE TO RAISE CONSIDERATION OF CSCE TO THE POLITICAL LEVEL IN ALL GOVERNMENTS AND TO DIRECT HIGH LEVEL ATTENTION TO THE CENTRAL ISSUES. WE CAN THEN WORK OUT HERE THE OBJECTIVES AND NATO AGREED TEXTS FOR EACH OF THE ISSUES SO THAT OUR GOVERNMENTS CAN DECIDE ON AN ACCEPTABLE OUTCOME.

Q. TO HELP US IN OUR TASK, AND TO ASSURE THAT WE

MOVE IN TANDEM WITH OUR GENEVA DELEGATIONS, I SUGGEST THAT HEADS OF ALLIED CSCE DELEGATIONS SHOULD JOIN US FOR THE NEXT NAC DISCUSSION AND THAT, IF POSSIBLE, MEMBERS OF THEIR STAFFS COME TO BRUSSELS AS NECESSARY TO HELP WITH THE WORK OF THE SPC.

CSCE SUMMER RECESS.

R. IT HAS BECOME APPARENT TO ALL OF US THAT IT WILL BE IMPOSSIBLE TO WIND UP THE GENEVA TALKS THIS MONTH. THE QUESTIONS OF THE DATES OF THE SUMMER RECESS IS BEST LEFT SECRET

PAGE 06 STATE 153816

TO NEGOTIATORS AT GENEVA. HOWEVER, I DO WANT TO EMPHASIZE HERE THAT ALLIED GOVERNMENTS SHOULD PRESENT THE PROSPECT OF SUMMER ADJOURNMENT IN A POSITIVE LIGHT AND NOT AS AN INDICATION OF ACRIMONIOUS STALEMATE AT GENEVA. THIS SHOULD NOT BE DIFFICULT TO DO IF WE POINT TO THE NORMAL AUGUST VACATION PERIOD IN EUROPE, WHICH WILL ALLOW PARTICIPANTS TIME ALSO TO PREPARE FOR THE CONCLUDING PHASE OF STAGE II.
KISSINGER
UNQUOTE
KISSINGER

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 27 JUL 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 16 JUL 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE153816
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: EUR/RPM:EJSTREATOR:GP
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D740190-1109
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740789/abbryzre.tel
Line Count: 244
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 08 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <08 APR 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <20 JUN 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> gwr 971028
Subject: INSTRUCTIONS FOR NAC MEETING
TAGS: OVIP, (KISSINGER, HENRY A)
To: ALL NATO CAPITALS
XMT ANKARA
ATHENS
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005